UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/516,176	03/01/2000	Takayoshi Sasaki	PM 266297	3428
PAUL E. WHIT	7590 09/09/201 ΓΕ, JR.	EXAMINER		
	NISON & SELTER, PI	LE, HOA T		
2000 M STREE SEVENTH FLO	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-3307	1788		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/09/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Extraction of inemapy te was been under the production of 30 FR1 1-1800, in newert, movement, may a way be timely filled. • 1 NO period for riscy is appelled above. The maximum statutory produce will apply and will social section to restrict a specified above. The maximum statutory produce will apply and will social section the translation of the communication. • Fallure to result appelled above. The maximum statutory spindor will be state to expect and the communication. • Period of the communication, which is a period to the communication. • Period of the communication, which is a period to the communication of the communication of the communication of the restriction is find. 2b This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on the interview of the set in application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s) 1,2,4-15,18-21,23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 1,13 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 5b) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,12,12,12,12,13,13,13,14,15 is/are pending in the application. 5c) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,12,12,12,12,13,14,15 is/are pending in the application. 5c) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,13,14,12,14,15 is/are allowed. 7c) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,13,14,15 is/are allowed. 7d) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,13,14,15 is/are allowed. 7e) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,13,14,15 is/are allowed. 7e) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,13,14,15 is/are allowed. 7e) Claim(s) 1,2,4-2,4-2,4-2,4-2,4-2,4-2,4-2,4-2,4-2,4			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Hoa (Holly) Le			09/516,17	6	SASAKI ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Barnative of men ray to evaluate under the provision of 37 CH1 13466, in no event, receive, may a very to emely fleet of the communication of 37 CH1 13466, in no event, receive, may a very to emely fleet of the communication of 37 CH1 13466, in no event, receive, may a very to emely fleet of the communication of 37 CH1 13466, in no event, received the provision and the communication of 37 CH1 13466. Fallus to require when the side of very its appoiled above, the major and all all apply and will apply and apply a	Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Butter of the property of the pro			Hoa (Holly) Le	1788				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNATION. Edenticine of their may be available under the provision of 3°CFR 11360, him event, however, may a may be timely lifed after 18 (8) MOSITISE from the mailing date of this communication. If the communication of 18 (8) MOSITISE from the mailing date of this communication of 18 (8) MOSITISE from the mailing date of this communication. If the communication of 18 (8) MOSITISE from the mailing date of this communication, which is a communication of 18 (8) MOSITISE from the mail of 18 (8) MOSITISE	The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication app	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2011. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-15.18-21.23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 7.13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-6.8-12.14.20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18.19.23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Patern Application 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patern Application	Status	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-15.18-21.23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 7.13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-6.8-12.14.20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18.19.23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Patern Application 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patern Application	1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <i>20 Ji</i>	une 2011						
An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) Claim(s) 1.2.4-15.18-21.23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 7.13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-15.18-21.23 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-6.8-12.14.20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1.3 is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) 1.3 is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) 1.3 is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) 1.3 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filled on 1.3 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u>'</u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		n-final					
; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action. 4)	<u>' =</u>	<i>'</i> —			set forth during the	e interview on			
4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-15,18-21,23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 2,13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-12,14,20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18,19,23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1,14-6,8-12,14,20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18,19,23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 3,19,24-6,8-12,14,20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,19,24-6,8-12,14,20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3,19,24-6,8-12,14,20 and 27 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 3,19,24-6,8-12,14,20 and 27 is/are rejected. 9) ☐ Claim(s) 3,19,24-6,8-12,14,20 and 27 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 4,19,24-6,8-12,14,20 and 27 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 4,19,24-6,8-12,14,20 and 27 is/are allowed. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 4,19,24-6,8-12,24 and 28 is/are allowed. 8) ☐ Clai	· —			•	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 5)									
Disposition of Claims 5) □ Claim(s) 1,2.4-15,18-21,23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 7,13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2.4-6.8-12,14.20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) 18,19.23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	,		·	•					
5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-15,18-21,23 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 5a) Of the above claim(s) 7,13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-12,14,20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18,19,23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO:8B08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application			,	, -,					
5a) Of the above claim(s) 7.13 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.2.4-6.8-12.14.20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) 18.19.23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) □ Claim(s)	Disposition of Claims								
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-6.8-12.14.20 and 21 is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18.19.23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15,18-21,2</u>	<u>23 and 25</u> is/are pend	ling in the ap	oplication.					
7) Claim(s) 18.19.23 and 25 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-880) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5a) Of the above claim(s)								
S Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-12,14,</u>	6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-12,14,20 and 21 is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 10	7) Claim(s) <u>18,19,23 and 25</u>	')⊠ Claim(s) <u>18,19,23 and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) is/are obj)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) Claim(s) are subje	9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers								
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10) The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	er.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	[*] See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	···								
5) — III - III	2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ng Review (PTO-948)							

Art Unit: 1788

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 18, 19, 23 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling for the reasons set forth in the last office action and further discussed herein. The process of making the fine hollow powder and the particle size of 0.1 to 5000 μm are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

It can be seen from the description at page 8, lines 15-27 that, the claimed feature "laminated oxide particles stuck together" cannot be independently possible because the "formation mechanism" is "presumed" by the process of making, which is spray drying. Therefore, without the process associated with the product features, the claim is based on a non-enabling disclosure. In addition, that the term "laminated particles 2 are stuck with one another to form a fine hollow powder 3" is only disclosed in connection with a particle size of 0.1 to 5000 μ m. Therefore, the claims with fail to include these two critical limitations are deemed non-enabling.

Claim 1 has been amended to include all critical elements as discussed in the first paragraph rejection in the last office action. However, claims 18 and 23 have not been amended and thus the rejection, as stated in the last office action and further discussed above, has not been overcome.

Art Unit: 1788

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 9, 20, and 21 allowable. The restriction requirement, as set forth in the Office action mailed on May 17, 2005, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claims 8-12 and 14 are no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claim(s) requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. However, claims 7, 13, and 15, directed to exfloliated titania sol, mixed alkali metal titanate, and layered titanic acid compound, respectively, remain withdrawn from consideration because these claims do not require all the limitations of an allowable claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

4. This application contains claims 7, 13 and 15 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on June 15, 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection

Art Unit: 1788

must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 9, 20, and 21 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 8-12 and 14 have been rejoined for examination and found allowable.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art references, singly or combined, teaches or suggests the process of spray drying in making hollow particles having the shell structure as claimed, i.e. laminated titanium oxide particles stuck together. EP 0 601 594 ("EP'594") teaches hollow particles comprising fused or sintered titanium oxide particles in the shell and having the shell/wall thickness ratio within the claimed range. However, the titanium oxide particles on the shell of EP'594 powder are not laminated particles. EP'594 teaches a spraying method to form the hollow particle, but either titania sol or a dispersion of precursor of titania is used in spraying instead of an <u>exfoliated</u> titania sol. Accordingly, laminated titanium oxide particles cannot be formed on the shell as required in claims the instant claims because the titanium oxide used in spraying taught by EP'094 is not a suspension of exfoliated titania.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa (Holly) Le whose telephone number is (571)272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. (EST), Mondays to Fridays.

Art Unit: 1788

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alicia Chevalier can be reached on 571-272-1490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hoa (Holly) Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1788

September 8, 2011